

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/699,502	WU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Susan Hanley	1651	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Susan Hanley.

 (2) Wendy Davis.
**Status of Application: after final**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview: 29 March 2007**
**Time: 3:30**
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 4, 13, 15, 18, 20 and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

none

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Davis agreed to an Examiner's amendment to replace the negative limitations regarding the additional surfactant in claims 1, 13 and 20 with a Markush group of surfactants as defined in claims 3 or 17. Claims 3 and 17 will be canceled and the dependencies of claims 4 and 18 adjusted accordingly.